



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,788	02/26/2002	Benjamin John Eggleton	Eggleton 26-1-1-14	6219	
75	590 02/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Glen E. Books, Esq.			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
Lowenstein Sandler 65 Livingston Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Roseland, NJ 07068			2828		
	DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004		4 ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/084,788	EGGLETON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence addres.	s		
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS of ause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed I days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this commur ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 D	ecember 2003.				
•	•	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 2-6 and 10-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-6 and 10-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ortion Papers	wn from consideration.	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	je		
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152	n		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P1O-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch et al. (US5299212).

With respect to claims 14-16 and 18-21, Koch show in Fig.3 a multi DBR laser 10 (modulated light source), a Bragg grating 21 coupled to the light source, a fiber PM coupler (col.5, l.40-45), a thermoelectric cooler means 17, a sensor 27, detectors (19, 22) and a feedback circuit (23-26).

With respect to claim 17, Koch show in Fig.3 the Bragg grating is coupled to the source by PM optical fiber.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch et al. (US5299212) in view of Aleksoff (US6611645).

With respect to claims 2-3, 5-6, and 10-13, Koch disclose all limitations of the claims except for the tilted grating tap. Aleksoff teaches the tilted grating tap (claim10). For the benefit of a wavelength stabilized semiconductor laser, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Koch a tilted grating tap as taught by Aleksoff.

With respect to claim 4, Koch show in Fig.3 the Bragg grating is coupled to the source by PM optical fiber.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Koch reference does not disclose a fused fiber PM coupler. The examiner does not concur because Koch reference clearly discloses a fiber optic coupler (see col.5, 1.36-45).

Applicant argues "... Aleksoff is silent on the problem and solution of laser wavelength control based on the measurement of light supplied to a grating and a signal representative of the light reflected or transmitted by the grating...". The argument is not persuasive. With the combination of the Aleksoff tilted grating tap to Koch, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that the tilted grating tap is used to control the wavelength of a laser.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 2828

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800